#3

PATENT

Attorney's Docket No.: 081862.P125

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

A BACKGROUND TEST	T SYSTEM FOR TIME DIVIS	SION MULTIPLEXING SWITC	CHING S	YSTEMS
the specification of which	ו			
X	is attached hereto. was filed onMay 28, 1999 United States Application Nu or PCT International Applica and was amended on	mber <u>09/322,708</u>		
		ne contents of the above-iden any amendment referred to a		
	o disclose all information kno of Federal Regulations, Sec	own to me to be material to particular to particular 1.56.	atentabilit	y as
foreign application(s) for	patent or inventor's certificator patent or inventor's certific	United States Code, Section te listed below and have also ate having a filing date before	identified	below
Prior Foreign Application	(s)		Prior <u>Clain</u>	
Number	Country	Day/Month/Year Filed	Yes	No
Number	Country	Day/Month/Year Filed	Yes	No
Number	Country	Day/Month/Year Filed	Yes	No
I hereby claim the benefi provisional application(s)		es Code, Section 119(e) of an	y United	States
Application Number	Filing Date	United States Postal Service	e as first cla	is being deposited with the ss mail with sufficient postage tant Commissioner for Patents,
Application Number	Filing Date	washington, D.C. 20231 onChy15-Upl plame of P	Dete of De	posh Mayshall Correspondence
Rev. 07/15/99 (D2)	-1-	Signature	N HOLE	Chate

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

		·
Application Number	Filing Date	Status patented, pending, abandoned
		ponenig, acameened
Application Number	Filing Date	Status patented,
	, and the second	pending, abandoned
part of this document) as my re	spective patent attorneys prosecute this application	(which is incorporated by reference and and patent agents, with full power of and to transact all business in the Patent
Send correspondence to	ester J. Vincent	, BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR
(Na	me of Attorney or Agent)
ZAFMAN LLP, 12400 Wilshire telephone calls to <u>Lester J</u>		s Angeles, California 90025 and direc
	f Attorney or Agent)	100, 120 0000.
nereby declare that all state		,
statements made on informat statements were made with t	tion and belief are believ he knowledge that willfu	ed to be true; and further that these I false statements and the like so mad er Section 1001 of Title 18 of the Unite
statements made on informat statements were made with t are punishable by fine or imp States Code and that such wi	tion and belief are believ he knowledge that willfu risonment, or both, und Ilful false statements ma	ed to be true; and further that these I false statements and the like so mad
statements made on informat statements were made with t are punishable by fine or imp States Code and that such wi	tion and belief are believ he knowledge that willfu risonment, or both, und Ilful false statements ma	ed to be true; and further that these I false statements and the like so mad er Section 1001 of Title 18 of the Unite
statements were made with ti are punishable by fine or imp States Code and that such wi application or any patent issu	tion and belief are believene knowledge that willfur risonment, or both, und illful false statements maded thereon.	ed to be true; and further that these I false statements and the like so mad er Section 1001 of Title 18 of the Unite
statements made on informatestatements were made with the are punishable by fine or impostates Code and that such with application or any patent issuful. Full Name of Sole/First Inventor	tion and belief are believene knowledge that willfur risonment, or both, und illful false statements maded thereon.	ed to be true; and further that these I false statements and the like so mader Section 1001 of Title 18 of the Uniter jeopardize the validity of the
statements made on informatestatements were made with the same punishable by fine or impostates Code and that such with application or any patent issufficial. Full Name of Sole/First Inventor	tion and belief are believene knowledge that willfur risonment, or both, und illful false statements maded thereon.	ed to be true; and further that these I false statements and the like so mader Section 1001 of Title 18 of the Uniter jeopardize the validity of the
statements made on informatistatements were made with the statements were made with the state punishable by fine or impostates Code and that such with application or any patent issuefull Name of Sole/First Inventor	tion and belief are believed the knowledge that willfur isonment, or both, und illful false statements made thereon. This bow Sanders will bow hardens with the bow hardens will be the bow hardens wil	ed to be true; and further that these I false statements and the like so mader Section 1001 of Title 18 of the Uniterly jeopardize the validity of the
statements made on informatistatements were made with the statements were made with the state punishable by fine or impostates Code and that such with application or any patent issuefull Name of Sole/First Inventor	tion and belief are believene knowledge that willfur risonment, or both, und illful false statements maded thereon.	ed to be true; and further that these I false statements and the like so mader Section 1001 of Title 18 of the Uniterly jeopardize the validity of the
statements made on informate statements were made with the statements were made with the state punishable by fine or impostates Code and that such with application or any patent issues with the state of the state	tion and belief are believed the knowledge that willfur risonment, or both, und illful false statements maked thereon. Kirk Dow Sanders Aulus State)	ed to be true; and further that these I false statements and the like so mader Section 1001 of Title 18 of the Uniterly jeopardize the validity of the
statements made on informatistatements were made with the statements were made with the statements were made with the state punishable by fine or important such with application or any patent issues full Name of Sole/First Inventor Inventor's Signature Signature City Residence San Jose, CA (City) Post Office Address 1576 Danger	tion and belief are believed the knowledge that willfur risonment, or both, und illful false statements maked thereon. Kirk Dow Sanders Aulus State)	ed to be true; and further that these I false statements and the like so mader Section 1001 of Title 18 of the Uniterly jeopardize the validity of the
statements made on informatistatements were made with the statements were made with the statements were made with the state punishable by fine or important such with application or any patent issues full Name of Sole/First Inventor Inventor's Signature Signature City Residence San Jose, CA (City) Post Office Address 1576 Danger	tion and belief are believed the knowledge that willfur risonment, or both, und illful false statements maked thereon. To Kirk Dow Sanders To Andrea To State)	ed to be true; and further that these I false statements and the like so mader Section 1001 of Title 18 of the Uniterly jeopardize the validity of the
statements made on informatistatements were made with the statements of the states Code and that such with application or any patent issues Full Name of Sole/First Inventor Inventor's Signature Signature City (City Post Office Address 1576 Danna San Jose)	tion and belief are believed the knowledge that willfur risonment, or both, und illful false statements may be thereon. The Kirk Dow Sanders Andrew Andrew Andrew Andrew Andrew Canal State) The State of the state	ed to be true; and further that these I false statements and the like so mader Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United by jeopardize the validity of the Date
statements made on informatistatements were made with the statements of the states Code and that such with application or any patent issues with the statement of the state	tion and belief are believed the knowledge that willfur risonment, or both, und illful false statements may be thereon. To Kirk Dow Sanders To State To S	ed to be true; and further that these I false statements and the like so mader Section 1001 of Title 18 of the Uniteday jeopardize the validity of the Date 8/1/99 Citizenship USA (Country)
statements made on informatistatements were made with the are punishable by fine or impostates Code and that such with application or any patent issue. Full Name of Sole/First Inventor Inventor's Signature City. Residence San Jose, CA (City.) Post Office Address 1576 Danra San Jose. Full Name of Second/Joint Inventor Company Country Cou	tion and belief are believed the knowledge that willfur risonment, or both, und illful false statements may be thereon. The Kirk Dow Sanders Andrew Andrew Andrew Andrew Andrew Canal State) The State of the state	ed to be true; and further that these I false statements and the like so mader Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United by jeopardize the validity of the Date
statements made on informatistatements were made with the statements of the statement of t	tion and belief are believed the knowledge that willfur risonment, or both, und illful false statements made thereon. To Kirk Dow Sanders State) Omas Way CA 95129 Intor Wing Cheong Chang Lace	ed to be true; and further that these I false statements and the like so mader Section 1001 of Title 18 of the Uniteday jeopardize the validity of the Date 8/1/99 Citizenship USA (Country)
statements made on informatistatements were made with the states are punishable by fine or impostates Code and that such with application or any patent issue. Full Name of Sole/First Inventor Inventor's Signature (City) Post Office Address 1576 Danra San Jose. Full Name of Second/Joint Inventor's Signature (San Jose) Full Name of Second/Joint Inventor's Signature (Inventor's Signature) Residence Los Altos Hills, CA	tion and belief are believed the knowledge that willfur risonment, or both, und illful false statements may be thereon. To Kirk Dow Sanders To State To S	ed to be true; and further that these I false statements and the like so mader Section 1001 of Title 18 of the Uniteday jeopardize the validity of the Date 8/1/99 Citizenship USA (Country)
statements made on informatistatements were made with the statements of the statement of t	tion and belief are believed the knowledge that willfur risonment, or both, und illful false statements maded thereon. To Kirk Dow Sanders Audican State) Omas Way CA 95129 Intor Wing Cheong Change State)	ed to be true; and further that these I false statements and the like so mader Section 1001 of Title 18 of the Uniteday jeopardize the validity of the Date 8/1/99 Citizenship USA (Country) Date Aug 2, 1999 Citizenship USA

APPENDIX A

William E. Alford, Reg. No. 37,764; Farzad E. Amini, Reg. No. P42,261; Aloysius T. C. AuYeung, Reg. No. 35,432; William Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Carol F. Barry, Reg. No. 41,600; Jordan Michael Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Bradley J. Bereznak, Reg. No. 33,474; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Ronald C. Card, Reg. No. P44,587; Yong S. Choi, Reg. No. P43,324; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Michael Anthony DeSanctis, Reg. No. 39,957; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Robert Andrew Diehl, Reg. No. 40,992; Tarek N. Fahmi, Reg. No. 41,402; James Y. Go, Reg. No. 40,621; Dinu Gruia, Reg. No. P42,996; Willmore F. Holbrow III, Reg. No. P41,845; George W Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; Dag H. Johansen, Reg. No. 36,172; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Andre L. Marais, under 37 C.F.R. § 10.9(b); Paul A. Mendonsa, Reg. No. 42,879; Darren J. Milliken, Reg. 42,004; Lisa A. Norris, Reg. No. P44,976; Thien T. Nguyen, Reg. No. 43,835; Thinh V. Nguyen, Reg. No. 42,034; Dennis A. Nicholls, Reg. No. 42,036; Kimberley G. Nobles, Reg. No. 38,255; Daniel E. Ovanezian, Reg. No. 41,236; Babak Redjaian, Reg. No. 42,096; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 39,018; James C. Scheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Anand Sethuraman, Reg. No. P43,351; Charles E. Shemwell, Reg. No. 40,171; Jeffrey Sam Smith, Reg. No. 39,377; Maria McCormack Sobrino, Reg. No. 31,639; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 25,128; Judith A. Szepesi, Req. No. 39,393; Vincent P. Tassinari, Reg. No. 42,179; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; George G. C. Tseng, Reg. No. 41,355; Joseph A. Twarowski, Reg. No. 42,191; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; Glenn E. Von Tersch, Reg. No. 41,364; John Patrick Ward, Reg. No. 40,216; Stephen Warhola, Reg. No. 43,237; Charles T. J. Weigell, Reg. No. 43,398; Kirk D. Williams, Reg. No. 42,229; James M. Wu, Reg. No. P45,241; Steven D. Yates, Reg. No. 42,242; Ben J. Yorks, Reg. No. 33,609; and Norman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250; my patent attorneys, and James A. Henry, Reg. No. 41,064; my patent agent, of BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP, with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (310) 207-3800, and James R. Thein, Reg. No. 31,710, my patent attorney.

APPENDIX B

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.